Guide for Review of				
Real Property Acquisition				
Name of Program Participant:				
Staff Consulted:				
Program and Project No.:	Parcel No.:			
	Address of Acquired Property:			
1				
Name(s) of	Date			
Reviewer(s)				

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a **"finding."**

<u>Instructions</u>: This Exhibit is designed to monitor compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements governing the acquisition of real property under HUD-assisted projects. The guidance on sampling in the introduction to this Chapter is to be followed but, generally, the acquisition sample shall be based on cases in which settlement has been completed. If necessary to provide a representative sample of acquisition activities, the reviewer may include incomplete transactions in which negotiations have been initiated. The sample of cases should be representative (e.g., residential, commercial and industrial).

Questions:

25-1 05/2006

1.				
	a.	Property Use: (Check one)		
		Single Family Residential	Commercial	
		Nonprofit Organization	Multifamily Residential	
		Industrial	Other	
	b.			
			pers:	
	De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
2				
2.	X X 71.	hat is the data of the official datases	wing ation, to consulting the most many autor?	
	1 77	nat is the date of the official determ	nination to acquire the real property?	
	De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
3.				
			ied of the program participant's interest in acquiring	the the
	pro	operty?		
	De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
4.				
	Wa	as the owner provided written info	rmation explaining the basic URA	
	acc	quisition protections at 49 CFR 24.	.102? (If yes, include date information was Yes	No
	pro	ovided in response below.)	100	
		escribe Basis for Conclusion:		

05/2006 25-2

5.						
	Was the owner invited to accompany each	n appraiser on h	nis/her inspection of the			
	property?			Yes	No	
	[49 CFR 24.102(c)(1)]			<u> </u>		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
6.	What are the dates and dallar amounts of	4h a fallassinas				
	What are the dates and dollar amounts of	_				
			Amount: \$			
	3 rd appraisal	Date:	Amount: \$ Amount: \$			
	Review appraisal	Date:	Amount: \$ Amount: \$			
	Just compensation determination		Amount: \$			
	Initial written offer	Date:	Amount: \$			
	Acquisition price	Date:				
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Date.	Aπουπ. ψ	_		
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.					
7.						
	Did the appraiser determine the highest ar	nd best use of the	he property?		П	
	(See paragraph 4.c of Attachment 3 to Chapter 25, Guidelines for HUD					
	Monitoring Review of Appraisals) Yes No					
	[49 CFR 24.103(a)(2)(i)]					
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
8.						
	Did the appraisal(s) contain information o	on comparable s	sales and/or other			
	documentation used to determine fair mar	ket value?		Yes	 No	
	[49 CFR 24.103(a)(2)(iii)]			103	110	
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					

25-3 05/2006

9.				
	Do each appraiser's standards and practices/techniques reflect established			
	Federal and federally assisted program appraisal practices, as applicable? (Se	ee	Yes	No
	paragraphs 3 and 4 of Attachment 2 to Chapter 25, Guidelines for HUD			
	Monitoring Review of Appraisals)			
	[49 CFR 24.103(a)(2)(ii)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
10.				
	Is the program participant's determination of just compensation acceptable (i.	.e.,		
	the amount is not less than the approved appraisal of the market value of the		Yes	No
	property, taking into account the value of allowable damages or benefits to ar	ıy		
	remaining property)? (Explain "yes" or "no" answer.)			
	[49 CFR 24.102(d)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
11.				
	Were tenant-owned improvements considered in the appraisal(s) of fair			
	market value and determination of just compensation?	ш		
	[49 CFR 24.105(a)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

05/2006 25-4

12.			
Does the file contain review appraisal(s)? [49 CFR 24.9; 49 CFR 24.104]	Yes	□ No	N/A
	162	NO	IV/ A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
3.			
Did the program participant provide the owner a summary statement of the	basis		
for its determination of just compensation?		Yes	No
[49 CFR 24.102(e)(1)]			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
4.			
What is the date the owner executed the negotiated purchase agreement?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
15.			
Were condemnation proceedings instituted? (If "yes," provide date in			
response below.)		Ш	
•	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

25-5 05/2006

16.			
	If property was condemned, what is the date the program participant deposited the market value of the property with the Court?	ne fair	
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
17.			
1/.	What is the date title vested in the program participant?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
18.			
	Has the owner received the purchase price proceeds? (If "yes," include date in response below).	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
19.			
	Did the program participant pay all required settlement costs? (If not, explain in response below).	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

05/2006 25-6

20.

a. Was there a written appeal or complaint filed by the owner?		Ш	
[49 CFR 24.10]		Yes	No
b. If the answer to a, above is "yes," was the appeal or complaint to	the		
program participant or with HUD?	Yes	No	N/A
c. How was the appeal or complaint handled?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

25-7 05/2006